

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>List of illustrations</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>List of tables</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 The evolution of tandem learning</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Examples of technology in ESL/EFL and reasons for discarding them</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Considerations for adopting CALL in a curriculum</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Emerging technologies</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Practical applications in ESL/EFL</b>	<b>3</b>

<b>Tandem learning</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Towards possible guidelines for tandem learning</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Collaboration and cognitive presence</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Adapting instruction to learner needs</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Objectives of the Thesis</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Scope of the study</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Significance of the Study</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Chapter 2 The theoretical background of tandem learning</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Defining autonomy in tandem learning</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Theory and purpose of tandem learning: Reciprocity and autonomy</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Current issues in distance tandem language learning</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Differing backgrounds</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Conflict of interests</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Pedagogical intervention to resolve conflicts</b>	<b>22</b>

<b>Benefits of tandem learning</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Developing autonomy and reciprocity in tandem learners</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Continuous assessment as a means to foster autonomy in learners</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Regarding autonomy as a skill</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Reciprocity: collaboration and cognitive presence</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Suggestions for improving collaboration in distance education</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>The synchronous/asynchronous distinction in distance learning</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Overlap and contrast of synchronous/asynchronous methods</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Collaboration based on critical or practical inquiry model</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Finding appropriate teaching methods</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Chapter 3 Case study of a tandem session I: design and outcomes</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Instruments and data collection</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>The purpose for using a voice recorder</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>Rationale for using back-to-back seating arrangement</b>	<b>42</b>

<b>Design of the case study</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Teaching presence in the case study</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Lessons planned for data collection</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Progressive steps towards cognitive presence</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Adapting to circumstances: suiting methods to the participants</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Context/environment and identity of the participants</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Anticipation of problems due to differing backgrounds and agendas</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>Session procedure</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Controlling variables</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Language versus task</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>Chapter 4 Case study of a tandem session II: transcription and analysis</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Analysis of negotiation of meaning</b>	<b>55</b>

<b>Legend and terminology</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Trancripts of the session</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>Cycle of cognitive presence: eliminated the need for further sessions</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Participant takes initiative</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Cognitive presence achieved by the participants sooner than expected</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>Assymmetrical language repair and collaboration</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>The influence of a proficiency gap</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Reflecting on teaching presence</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Participant suggestions for further improvement</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Participants' evaluations</b>	<b>91</b>
<b>Chapter 5 Conclusion: guidelines for tandem training</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Autonomy &amp; Reciprocity; Language &amp; Task</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>Acknowledgements regarding outcomes</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Intervention regarding the proficiency gap</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Guiding conversational repair</b>	<b>94</b>

	x
<b>Continuous development</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Teaching presence: Teaching autonomy skills explicitly</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>Monitoring and guiding interaction during the session</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Integrating previous proposals</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Task/ class structure</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>Staying on task/adhering to responsibilities of one's role</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>Overt instructor facilitation and leadership</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Student self-coding</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Cognitive presence</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>The teacher's role in matching up students for tandem sessions</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Evaluation and future work</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>Limitations</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Appendix: Base line tandem learning lesson plan</b>	<b>109</b>

**LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS**

<b>Figure 1: Practical inquiry model</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Figure 2: Community of inquiry, model of Garrison, Anderson &amp; Archer (2000)</b>	<b>19</b>

PAYYAP UNIVERSITY

**LIST OF TABLES**

**Table 1: Scheme for analysis of negotiation of meaning** 60

**Table 2: Quantitative analysis of session 1** 81

PAYYAP UNIVERSITY