TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS v

ABSTRACT vii

LIST OF TABLES xv

LIST OF FIGURES xix

CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 Rationale and statement of the problem 1

1.2 Objectives of the study 6

1.3 Scope of the study 6

1.4 Definitions of terms 7

1.4.1 Terms within the topic 7

1.4.2 Terms in the study 9

1.4.3 Abbreviation 11

1.5 Significance of the Study 11

CAHPTER II REVIEW OF LITERATURE 13

2.1 Theoretical background of immersion program 13

2.2 Related studies 19

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY 31

3.1 Subjects 32

3.2 Instruments 33

3.2.1 Questionnaire 33

3.2.1.1 Questionnaire for students 34

3.2.1.2 Questionnaire for parents 35

3.2.2 Observations 35

3.2.2.1 Observation Form I (students' choice of language) 35

3.2.2.2 Observation Form II (students' choice of cultural grouping) 36

3.2.3 Interviews 36

3.2.3.1 Interview Form I (for students) 36

3.2.3.2 Interview Form II (for parents) 37

3.3 Data Collection 37

3.3.1 Questionnaire 37

3.3.1.1 Questionnaire for students 37

3.3.1.2 Questionnaire for parents 38

3.3.2 Observations 38

3.3.2.1 Observation Form I (students' choice of language) 38

3.3.2.2 Observation Form II (students' choice of cultural grouping) 40

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

3.3.3 Interviews 41
3.3.3.1 Interview Form I (for students) 41
3.3.3.2 Interview Form II (for parents).....41
3.4 Data Analysis.....43
CHAPTER IV RESULTS 44
4.1 Results from questionnaire 44
4.1.1 Questionnaire for students.....44
4.1.2 Questionnaire for parents.....55
4.1.3 Comparisons 63
4.1.3.1 Early/middle immersion and late immersion's English 63
4.1.3.2 Students' and their parents' rating of students' English language and native language skills.....64
4.1.3.3 Students' and their parents' rating of students' general knowledge, English language and native language compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs.....65
4.2 Results from observations 66
4.2.1 Observation Form I (students' choice of language).....66
4.2.2 Observation Form II (students' choice of cultural grouping).....67

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

4.3 Results from interviews 68

4.3.1 Interview Form I (for students) 68

4.3.2 Interview Form II (for parents) 71

CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS 74

5.1 Summary of the Study 74

5.2 Summary of the Results 75

5.3 Discussion of the findings 83

5.4 Implications 91

5.5 Recommendations for further studies 94

BIBLIOGRAPHY 96

APPENDICES 108

APPENDIX 1. INSTRUMENTS 109

Questionnaire for students 110

Questionnaire for parents 122

Observation Form I 134

(students' choice of language spoken outside class)

Observation Form II 135

(students' choice of cultural grouping and

the language spoken in the group)

Interview Form I (for students) 136

Interview Form II (for parents) 137

APPENDIX 2 TABLES SHOWING DETAILS OF

PERCEIVED STUDENTS' ABILITIES 138

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

LIST OF TABLES

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

Page

Table 1.1 Students' personal information....44

Table 1.2 Reasons for choosing to study in the immersion program 46

Table 2.1 Language spoken by students....47

Table 2.2 Students' language study....48

Table 3 Students' self-rating of English language ability 49

Table 4 Students' self-rating of native language ability....50

Table 5 Students' self-rating of overall knowledge compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs 50

Table 6 Students' opinion on studying in the immersion program 51

Table 7 What students think is helpful to their English language learning 53

Table 8 Students' suggestions 54

Table 9 Parents' personal information....55

Table 10 Parents' rating of their children's English language ability 56

Table 11 Parents' rating of their children's native language ability....57

Table 12 Parents' rating of their children's overall knowledge compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs 57

Table 13 Parents' opinions on their children's studying in the immersion program 58

Table 14 What parents think is helpful to their children's English language learning 60

Table 15 Parents' suggestions 61

Table 16 Early/middle and late immersion students' numbers and years studying in the immersion program 62

Table 17 Comparison of English language skills self-rated by Early/middle and late immersion students 63

Table 18.1 Comparison of students' and their parents' rating of students' English language skills and native language skills 64

Table 18.2 Comparison of students' and their parents' rating of students' general knowledge, English language and native language compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs 65

Table 19 Non-native English speaking students' language spoken outside class 66

Table 20 Non-native English speaking students' grouping with friends of different cultures and languages spoken in the group 67

Table A1 Students' self-rating of their listening skills (English) 139

Table A2 Students' self-rating of their speaking skills (English) 139

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

Table A3 Students' self-rating of their reading skills (English)..... 140
Table A4 Students' self-rating of their writing skills (English)..... 140
Table A5 Students' self-rating of their listening skills (native)..... 140
Table A6 Students' self-rating of their speaking skills (native)..... 141
Table A7 Students' self-rating of their reading skills (native)..... 141
Table A8 Students' self-rating of their writing skills (native)..... 142
Table A9 Students' self-rating of their general knowledge compared to peers in non-immersion programs..... 142
Table A10 Students' self-rating of their English language compared to peers in non-immersion programs..... 142
Table A11 Students' self-rating of their native language compared to peers in non-immersion programs..... 143
Table A12 Parents' rating of their children's listening skills (English)... 143
Table A13 Parents' rating of their children's speaking skills (English)... 143
Table A14 Parents' rating of their children's reading skills (English)..... 144
Table A15 Parents' rating of their children's writing skills (English)..... 144
Table A16 Parents' rating of their children's listening skills (native)..... 145
Table A17 Parents' rating of their children's speaking skills (native)..... 145
Table A18 Parents' rating of their children's reading skills (native)..... 146
Table A19 Parents' rating of their children's writing skills (native)..... 146
Table A20 Parents' rating of their children's general knowledge compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs..... 147

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

Table A21 Parents' rating of their children's English language compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs 147

Table A22 Parents' rating of their children's native language compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs 147

PAYAP UNIVERSITY

LIST OF FIGURES

Page

Comparison of English language skills self-rated by early/middle immersion students and late immersion students 63

Comparison of students' and their parents' rating of students' English language skills and native language skills 64

Comparison of students' and their parents' rating of students' general knowledge, English language and native language compared to peers studying in non-immersion programs 65

PAYAP UNIVERSITY